Overexpression of GLI1 and PTTG1 as Poor Prognostic Factor of Patients with Laryngeal Squamous Cell Carcinoma

Asmaa M. Ahmed^{a*},Mohamed Modather Aboshanif^b, Mohamed Omar A. Gad^b, Maha Salah El-Naggar^c, Doaa A Gamal^c, Mayada F. Sedik^d, Heba E.M. El-Deek^a

^aPathology Department, Faculty of Medicine, Assiut University, Assiut, Egypt.

^bDepartment of Otorhinolaryngology, Faculty of Medicine, Assiut University, Assiut, Egypt.

^cClinical Oncology Department, Faculty of Medicine, Assiut University Hospital, Assiut, Egypt.

^dMedical Oncology and Hematological Malignancies Department, South Egypt Cancer Institute, Faculty of Medicine, Assiut University, Assiut, Egypt.

Abstract

Background: Laryngeal squamous cell carcinoma (LSCC) constitutes about 98% of all laryngeal carcinomas with high mortality rates. The transcription factors Glioma-associated oncogene homolog1 (GLI1) and pituitary tumor transforming gene-1 (PTTG1) were associated with poor prognosis in several carcinomas. To date, no previous studies addressed the role of GLI1 in LSCC and limited studies have examined the role of PTTG1 in LSCC.

Objectives: This study aims to evaluate the immunohistochemical (IHC) expression of GLI1 and PTTG1 in LSCC, to correlate their expression with clinicopathological features and patients' survival, and to assess the correlation between both proteins in LSCC.

Materials and methods: GLI1 and PTTG1 expression was immunohistochemically examined in 60 LSCC specimens and 50 benign vocal fold polyps (control group). **Results:** GLI1and PTTG1 expression were significantly higher in LSCC than in the control group (p < 0.0001 for each). Their expression was significantly higher in LSCC with larger size (p=0.001, p < 0.0001 respectively), higher grade (p < 0.0001 for each), stage (p < 0.0001 for each), lymph node metastasis (p<0.0001 for each), and lymphovascular emboli (p=0.003, p=0.004 respectively). High GLI1 and PTTG1 were the significant independent predictors for disease free survival (p=0.005, p=0.048 respectively). While higher tumor stage and higher GLI1 were the only significant independent prognostic factors for overall survival (p=0.049, p=0.041 respectively). Significant strong positive correlation was detected between GII1 and PTTG1 in LSCC (p < 0.0001).

Conclusion: These results suggest that GLI1 and PTTG1 may contribute to LSCC pathogenesis. Targeting both proteins may improve the clinical management in the near future.

Keywords: GLI1; PTTG1; Laryngeal squamous cell carcinoma; Survival. **DOI:** 10.21608/SVULJM.2024.303883.1927

*Correspondence: drasmaamhm@aun.edu.eg

Received: 13 July,2024.

Revised: 27 July,2024.

Accepted: 29 July,2024. Published: 16 August, 2024

Cite this article as: Asmaa M. Ahmed, Mohamed Modather Aboshanif, Mohamed Omar A. Gad, Maha Salah El-Naggar, Doaa A Gamal, Mayada F. Sedik, Heba E.M. El-Deek.(2024). Overexpression of GL11 and PTTG1 as Poor Prognostic Factor of Patients with Laryngeal Squamous Cell Carcinoma. *SVU-International Journal of Medical Sciences*. Vol.7, Issue 2, pp: 350-365.

Copyright: © Ahmed et al (2024) Immediate open access to its content on the principle that making research freely available to the public supports a greater global exchange of knowledge. Users have the right to Read, download, copy, distribute, print or share link to the full texts under a Creative Commons BY-NC-SA 4.0 International License



Introduction

Laryngeal carcinoma is the 2nd most common cancer among head and neck tumors, with more than 200,000 cases/year diagnosed worldwide (Nocini et al., 2020). LSCC accounts for about 95-98% of laryngeal cancer, with high mortality and morbidity rates (Ma et al., 2020). Despite the greatest advances in the clinical management of LSCC, total laryngectomy may be the only therapeutic option in advanced stages (Mo et al., 2021). Post-surgical complications such as swallowing dysfunction and loss of speech greatly reduce the quality of life (Mo et al.,2021). Given the limited therapeutic options in late stages and the complex molecular pathogenesis of LSCC that is still not fully understood (Ma et al.,2020), there is an urgent need to discover novel molecules involved in LSCC pathogenesis. These can facilitate early diagnosis and predict patients' prognosis to allow the development of new therapeutic strategies with subsequent improvement of patients' quality of life (Falco et al., 2022).

To date, accumulating evidence has revealed a greater similarity between normal fetal developmental mechanisms and malignant transformation, both of which share molecular similar and signaling pathways (Cheng et al., 2016). The transcription factor Glioma-associated oncogene homolog1; GLI1 is а member of the GLI family of Krüppellike zinc finger proteins and plays an important role in tissue growth during embryonic development (Avery et al.,2021). However, it is inhibited in mature differentiated tissues to prevent abnormal cellular proliferation (Avery et al.,2021). Aberrant activation and over-expression of GLI1 have been reported in several tumors such as breast (Wang et al., 2017), colonic (Po et al., 2020), and lung carcinoma (Lei

et al., 2022). GLI1 activation has been implicated tumor in initiation. progression, and relapse as a result of the up-regulation of certain oncogenes (Avery et al., 2021). However, its prognostic impact and its correlation with survival remain controversial (Cheng et al., 2016). Previous studies demonstrated that inhibition of GLI1 signaling resulted in anticancer activity (Panneerselvam et al., 2019). Thus, it can be a potential therapeutic target in certain tumors (Panneerselvam et al..2019). To date, the IHC expression of GLI1 in LSCC and its correlation with patients' survival have not been previously investigated.

Securin is a protein encoded by a gene located on chromosome 5 and acts as a regulator of sister chromatid separation during mitosis (Gong et al.,2022). It is also called pituitary tumor transforming gene-1; PTTG1 as it was first described in rat pituitary tumor cells (Gong et al., 2022). It is normally expressed in tissues with proliferative activity such as spleen, testis, and thymus while it is rarely detectable in other mature differentiated cells (Liu et al., 2022). postulated Previous studies that PTTG1 has been suggested to be an oncogene, PTTG1 up-regulation can progression promote tumor and metastasis in bladder (Li et al., 2022) and prostatic (Fraune et al., 2020) carcinomas while its down-regulation can produce the opposite effect (Gong et al., 2022). So, it could be а promising target in cancer therapy (Gong et al., 2022). However, the exact mechanisms by which PTTG1 contributes to tumor progression are still poorly understood (Yoon et al.,2012). A recent study reported that PTTG1 overexpression resulted in activation of GLI1 in developing brain while PTTG1 silencing had a negative GLI1expression. effect on This interesting finding raises the question of whether this correlation between PTTG1 and GLI1 can also be detected in carcinomas. To the best of our knowledge, such correlation has not been previously investigated in LSCC. A link between these proteins in LSCC may provide a deep knowledge about new signaling pathways that may be involved in LSCC pathogenesis and may have therapeutic importance.

To better understand the role of PTTG1 and GLI1 in LSCC, this study aims to assess the IHC expression of these proteins in LSCC specimens in comparison with the normal laryngeal tissue; and correlate their expression with the clinicopathological features of LSCC and patients' survival, and then assess the correlation between PTTG1 and GLI1expression in LSCC.

Materials and methods

This study was approved by the Institutional Ethics and Research Committee of Faculty of Medicine, Assiut University, Assiut, Egypt (IRB number: 17300879).

Specimens

One hundred ten (110) formalin-fixed embedded paraffin blocks were included and divided into; 60 LSCC specimens 14 specimens (were obtained endoscopically and the remaining 46 specimens were laryngectomy specimens) and 50 benign vocal fold polyps as a control group. The blocks were obtained from the archives of the Surgical Pathology Laboratory, Assiut University Hospital, Faculty of Medicine (in the period between June 2017 and June 2022). The clinical data included patients' age, sex, type of specimen, tumor size, site, staging, lymph node metastasis, and follow-up data were obtained from the hospital medical records Clinical Oncology at Department, Otorhinolaryngology Department, Assiut University Hospital and also from Medical

Oncology and Hematological malignancies Department at South Cancer Institute, Egypt Assiut University. Inclusion criteria include: patients with available clinical and follow-up data, and laryngeal specimens diagnosed as squamous cell carcinoma (SCC).

Hematoxylin & eosin (H&E) stained slides of LSCC were for reexamined detailed histopathological features including; histological grade according to the 2017 WHO grading (Seethala, 2017), tumor stage according to American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC), 8th Edition (Amin et al., 2017), presence or absence of lymphovascular emboli and perineural invasion.

Immunohistochemical staining

Four µm thick sections were cut from the paraffin blocks. 3% H2O2 was applied to block the activity of endogenous peroxidase after deparaffinization and rehydration. Immersing and heating the sections in 10mmol/l citrate buffer (pH 6.0) at 90°C in a microwave for 12 min was performed for antigen retrieval. Then, tissue sections were incubated with the antibodies primary (GLI1 mouse monoclonal antibody (OTI4E2), Catalog number MA5-26638, Invitrogen, ThermoFisher Scientific, USA, dilution 1:200 and Securin (PTTG1) rabbit polyclonal antibody, Catalog number PA5-29399. Invitrogen, ThermoFisher Scientific, USA, dilution 1:300) overnight at room temperature. Secondary staining (Thermoscientific Corporation, kits USA) Fremont, California, were applied according the to manufacturer's instructions. Pancreatic islet cells (Xu et al., 2010) and testicular germ cells (Rehfeld et al.,2006) were used as positive control for GLI1 and PTTG1 respectively. Negative controls were obtained by

Variables	No.	%	
LSCC (n=60)			
$\Delta q_{\theta} (v_{\theta} q r_{\theta})$			
Age (years)	35	58.3	
≥ 60	25	J0.J 41 7	
	2.5	41./	
Male	52	867	
Female	8	13.3	
Size of tumor (cm)	0	15.5	
< 2 5	34	56.7	
> 2 5	26	43.3	
Site of tumor	20	т <i>э</i> .э	
Supraglottic	22	367	
Glottic	32	53.3	
Subglottic	6	10	
Laterality	0	10	
Right	28	46 7	
Left	32	53.3	
Histologic grade	52	00.0	
Grade L well differentiated	14	23.3	
Grade II moderately differentiated	34	56.7	
Grade III, noorly differentiated	12	20	
T stage	12	20	
T suge	8	13.3	
T2	30	50	
T2 T3	18	30	
T_{A}	10	50	
17 I ymnh nodo motastasis	+	0.7	
Lymph houe metastasts	22	55	
Absent	33 27	45	
Abselit	21	45	
Lymphovascular embou Present	37	61 7	
Absent	0	15	
Austin Cannot be determined	9	13	
Parinoural invasion	14	23.3	
Precent	77	15	
Absent	10	4J 31 7	
Cannot be determined	19	23.3	
Follow un data	17	23.3	
Recurrence (relanse)			
Present	20	33.3	
Absent	40	66.7	
Overall survival			
Alive	45	75	
Died	15	25	
Control group (n-5	(0)		<i>p</i> value#
		P · unden	
Age (years)	= 0	4.6.5	
≤ 60	50	100	<0.0001*
> 60	0	0	
Sex		-	0.02=:
Male	35	70	0.037*
Female	15	30	
Laterality	•		0.256
Kight	29	58	
Lett	21	42	

Table 1: Clinicopathological features of the studied groups.

#

p value (LSCC versus control), *Significant (Chi-square test) (p < 0.05).



processing the sections without adding the primary antibodies.

Immunohistochemical evaluation

Nuclear and/or cytoplasmic staining pattern was considered positive for GLI1 (Feng et al., 2017; Parrack et al.,2023) and PTTG1(Xu et al.,2016; Feng et al., 2017; Teveroni et al., 2021). The IHC stains of GLI1 and PTTG1 were evaluated using a histological score (H-score). The percentage of positive cells (0-100) was multiplied by the staining intensity (0 (negative), 1 (mild), 2 (moderate), 3 (strong)) to yield final scores of 0-300 (You et al., 2020; Boruah et al., 2022). The IHC expression of both proteins in the tumor cells were independently assessed by two expert pathologists in a blinded manner to ensure the consistency of the results.

Statistical analysis

All analyses were done using statistical software package SPSS version16 (version16, Statistical Package for the Social Sciences; SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA). Mann-Whitney test and Kruskalwallis (K-test) and Chi- square tests were used as appropriate. The Spearman Correlation Coefficient was used to assess the correlation between

Immunohistochemical results

expression: Positive GLI1 GLI1 expression was detected in tumor cells of 85% (51/60) LSCC specimens while most laryngeal specimens from the control group were negative (39/50;78%) (**Fig.1**). The mean of GLI1 expression in LSCC (169.33 ± 101.69) was significantly higher than that of the control group $(25.60 \pm 52.80) (p < 0.0001)$.

PTTG1 expression: Positive PTTG1expression was detected in tumor cells of most LSCC specimens (53/60; 88.3%) while it was expressed in only 7/50 (14%) laryngeal specimens from the control group GLI1 and PTTG1 expression in LSCC. Kaplan-Meier survival curves and the log-rank test were used to perform outcome analysis including diseasefree survival (DFS) and overall survival (OS). Significant parameters by univariate Kaplan–Meier analysis were further analyzed by multivariate Cox proportional hazards regression models to test for independence. Hazard ratios and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated. For all tests, p value of less than 0.05 was considered as statistically significant. **Results**

Clinicopathological features of the studied groups:

The mean age of LSCC patients was 60.48 ± 12.66 years while the mean age of the control group (vocal fold polyps) was 31.68 ± 8 . Carcinoma was significantly associated with age more than 60 (p < 0.0001) and male gender 0.037) with no significant (p=association with laterality (0.256). Most carcinomas were moderately differentiated (56.7%), occurred at the left side (53.3%) and in the glottic region (53.3%). The clinicopathological features of the studied cases are summarized in (Table.1).

(**Fig.1**). The mean of PTTG1 expression in LSCC (170.16 \pm 98.57) was significantly higher than that of the control group (10.8 \pm 30.76) (p<0.0001).

Relationship between GLI1 and PTTG1expression and the clinicopathological features of LSCC group

The mean of expression of both GLI1 and PTTG1 proteins was significantly higher in tumors with larger size (p= 0.001, p < 0.0001 respectively), higher grade (p < 0.0001 for each), higher stage (p < 0.0001 for each), lymph node metastasis (p < 0.0001 for each), presence of lymphovascular emboli (p



= 0.003, p = 0.004 respectively), and recurrence (p < 0.0001 for each). Also, LSCC specimens from patients who died exhibited a significantly higher mean of GLI1 and PTTG1 than those from patients who are still alive (p < 0.0001 for each).

No significant difference was detected in the mean of **GLI1**and PTTG1 expression regarding; patient age (p = 0.267, p = 0.695 respectively), gender (p=0.504, p=0.776 respectively), tumor site (p=0.076, p=respectively), laterality (p=0.074 0.540, p = 0.771respectively), and perineural invasion (p=0.166, p=0.181 respectively) (Table. 2).

Relationship between the clinicopathological parameters including GL11 and PTTG1 expression and LSCC patients' survival

During the follow-up period, 20 (33.3%) of LSCC patients developed recurrence and 15 (25%) of the patients died. The mean DFS period was 37.58 \pm 18.65 months, with a range of 7- 60 months. The mean OS period was 43.40 \pm 1.83 months (range; 14 - 60 months).

For statistical purposes in survival analysis, stages (I & II) were grouped as low stage, and stages (III and IV) were grouped as high stage. Also, histological grades were grouped as follows; low grade (I&II) and high grade (III). Moreover, The expression of GLI1 and PTTG1 was divided into low (\leq 180) and high expression (>180) according to the median expression value; of 180.

Univariate Kaplan-Meiersurvival analysis demonstrated the following:

High GLI1 expression was associated with shorter DFS and OS in comparison to low GLI1 expression (DFS, 31.04 ± 4.16 vs. 58.87 ± 1.56 respectively, p < 0.0001; Fig. 2), (OS, 43.76 \pm 3.24 vs. 60, respectively, *p* <0.0001; **Fig. 2**). Also, higher PTTG1 expression was associated with shorter DFS and OS in comparison to lower PTTG1 expression (DFS, 32.75 \pm 4.24 vs. 57.56 \pm 2.05 respectively, *p* <0.0001; fig. 2), (OS, 44.06 \pm 3.21 vs. 60, respectively, *p* <0.0001; **Fig. 2**).

A decline in DFS and OS was detected in tumors with higher histological grade (III) in comparison to lower histological grade (I &II) (DFS, 27.66 \pm 5.73 vs. 50.56 \pm 2.8 respectively,*p* <0.0001; **Fig. 2**), (OS, 40.41 \pm 4.52 vs. 55.2 \pm 1.90, respectively, *p* <0.0001; **Fig. 2**). Also, advanced tumor stage (III &IV) was associated with shorter DFS and OS in comparison to early stage (I &II) (DFS, 31.5 \pm 4.74 vs. 54.36 \pm 2.58 respectively, *p* <0.0001; **Fig. 2**), (OS, 41.22 \pm 3.64 vs. 59 \pm 1.39, respectively, *p* <0.0001; **Fig. 2**).

In addition, the presence of lymph node metastasis was associated with decreased DFS and OS in comparison to the absence of nodal metastasis (DFS, 36.76 ± 3.99 vs. 57.11 ± 2.41 respectively, p = 0.001; **Fig. 2**), (OS, 46.22 ± 2.93 vs. 60, respectively, p = 0.001; **Fig. 2**).

However, no significant association was found between DFS or OS and the other clinicopathological features examined as age (p = 0.419, p = 0.359 respectively), sex (p = 0.893, p = 0.365 respectively), tumor site (p =0.094, p = 0.132 respectively), laterality (p = 0.506, p = 0.675respectively), tumor size (p = 0.133, p =0.130 respectively), lymphovascular emboli (p = 0.156, p = 0.135respectively), and perineural invasion (p = 0.344, p = 0.244 respectively).

After multivariate analysis using Cox proportional hazard model, only higher expression of GLI1 and PTTG1 was the significant independent predictor for DFS and an increased hazard of recurrence with p values of 0.005 and 0.048 respectively. While higher tumor stage and higher GLI1 expression were the only significant independent prognostic factors for OS in LSCC with p values of 0.049 and 0.041 respectively (**Table. 3**).

Correlation between GL11 and PTTG1expression in LSCC

A significant strongly positive correlation was found between GLI1 and PTTG1 expression in LSCC (r=0.934, p <0.0001) (Fig.3).

Table 2. Relationship between	GLI1 and PTTG1expression with the clinicopathologica	l
	Features of LSCC group.	

Variables	H score of GLI1		H score of PTTG1		
	Mean ± SD	p value	Mean ± SD	p value	
Age		0.267		0.695	
≤ 60	177.42±102.27		175.71±100.21		
> 60	158 ± 101.85		162.40 ± 97.73		
Sex		0.504		0.776	
Male	167.30 ± 100.31		169.03 ± 97.44		
female	182.50 ± 116.71	0.001*	177.5± 112.47	<0.0001*	
Size of tumor (cm)					
≤ 2.5	128.23 ± 104.92		129.11±98.88		
> 2.5	223.07±67.63		223.8±68.82		
Site of tumor		0.076		0.074	
Supraglottic	202.27±103.32		203.63 ± 102.05		
Glottic	152.81±96.19	0.540	155.31±93.63	0.771	
Subglottic	136.66± 109.66		126.66± 89.14		
Laterality		<0.0001*		<0.0001*	
Right	182.14±89.08		179.28±91.04		
Left	158.12±111.77		162.18±105.51		
Histologic grade					
Grade I	41.42±35.70		53.57±45.67		
Grade II	193.52±75.95		189.11±74.31		
Grade III	250±83.23		252.50±85.29		
T stage					
T1	71.25±80.61	<0.0001*	65±66.54	<0.0001*	
T2	142.66±91.79		143.33±82.68		
T3	231.66±75.78	<0.0001*	234.44±76.32	<0.0001*	
T4	285±17.32		292.50±15		
Lymph node metastasis					
Present	228.78±69.94		228.78±66.50		
Absent	96.66±86.46		98.51±83.14		
Lymphovascular emboli		0.003*		0.004*	
Present	219.45 ± 76.66		218.10 ± 75.27		
Absent	107.77 ± 88.56		115.55 ± 92.07		
Perineural invasion		0.166		0.181	
Present	210.74 ± 87.65		212.59 ± 83.37		
Absent	178.94 ± 92.36		177.36±92.30		
Recurrence		<0.0001*		<0.0001*	
Present	252 ± 78.98		250.50 ± 81.46		
Absent	128 ± 85.73	<0.0001*	130 ± 80.63	<0.0001*	
Overall survival					
Alive	136.88 ± 92.26		136.44±85.63		
Died	266.66±57.40		271.33 ± 57.30		

*Significant (Mann-Whitney and Kruskal-Wallis Test) (p <0.05).



Fig.1. IHC expression of GLI1 and PTTG1. Focal weak GLI1 expression in the surface epithelium of benign vocal fold polyp (a,x400). Weak GLI1 expression in well differentiated LSCC (b,x400). Moderate GLI1 expression in moderately differentiated LSCC (c,x400). Strong GLI1 expression in poorly differentiated LSCC (d,x400). Focal weak PTTG1 expression in the surface epithelium of benign vocal fold polyp (e,x400). Weak PTTG1 expression in well differentiated LSCC (f,x400). Moderate PTTG1 expression in moderately differentiated LSCC (f,x400). Moderate PTTG1 expression in moderately differentiated LSCC (g,x400). Strong PTTG1 expression in poorly differentiated LSCC (f,x400).



Fig. 2. Kaplan–Meier curves for survival analysis. Kaplan–Meier curves for disease-free survival; according to (a) GLI1 expression, (b) PTTG1 expression, (c) Histological grade, (d) tumor stage, (e) Lymph node metastasis. Kaplan–Meier curves for overall survival according to (f) GLI1 expression, (g) PTTG1 expression, (h) Histological grade, (i) tumor stage, (j) lymph node metastasis.

Table 3. Cox regression analysis of factors affecting DFS and OS in LSCC								
patients.								
				4 (-			1 (

Variable	Disease free survival (DFS)			Overall survival (OS)			
analysis	Hazard	95%	p value	Hazard	95%	p value	
	ratio	confidence		ratio	confidence		
		interval			interval		
GLI1	9.54	2- 45.4	0.005*	9.49	1.10 -	0.041*	
					81.89		
PTTG1	4.02	1.01 - 16	0.048*	5.56	0.63 -	0.120	
					48.52		
Tumor	2.193	0.51 - 9.43	0.291	8.99	1-80.12	0.049*	
stage							
Histologic	0.483	0.145 -	0.234	0.418	0.12- 1.43	0.164	
grade		1.60					
Lymph	0.771	0.14-3.58	0.680	0.418	0.06-	0.964	
node					17.28		
metastasis							

*significant (Cox regression analysis, p<0.05)



Fig.3. Correlation between GLI1 and PTTG1 expression in LSCC.

Discussion

LSCC is considered one of the most common carcinomas of the head and neck with reduced survival rates in the last two decades even after the current treatment strategies (**Zhou et al.,2022**). LSCC showed male predominance (**Park et al.,2022**) with a mean age about 61 (**Liu et al.,2022**) which is consistent with the current results.

Investigating the different molecular mechanisms and signaling pathways underlying LSCC development is of significant interest for the discovery of innovative therapeutic options and better clinical management (**Zhou et al.,2022**). This study aimed to investigate the role of IHCexpression of GLI1 and PTTG1 in LSCC.

GLI1 is a critical transcriptional factor and its activation has been linked to the stimulation of several hallmarks of cancer (El Zaiat et al.,2023). GLI1 transcriptionally activates the target genes implicated in cycle progression cell and thus promotes tumor initiation (El Zaiat et al..2023). Previous reports have described GLI1 overexpression in SCC of esophagus (Feng et al., 2017) skin (Tanese et al., 2018) and lung (Cui et al.,2017) with limited or absent

expression in the adjacent normal tissues. However, no previous studies reported its expression in LSCC. In agreement with previous reports (**Cui et al.,2017; Feng et al.,2017; Tanese et al.,2018**), GLI1 was expressed in most of the LSCC specimens in the current study, while it was negative in most of the control specimens. This finding may suggest its role in LSCC development and promotion. However, the exact mechanisms regulating the activation and the expression of GLI1 in cancer especially LSCC remain poorly understood (Wang et al.,2021).

The association between GLI1 expression and the clinicopathological features and patients' survival in cancer is controversial and has not been previously investigated in LSCC. Consistent with the current results, previous studies in several carcinomas reported that high GLI1 expression was associated with increased tumor size (Yao et al., 2019), high grade (Yao et al., 2019; Qi et al., 2020), advanced stage (Cui et al., 2017; Lv et al., 2018; Shao et al., 2018; Yao et al., 2019; Qi al.,2020), presence of nodal et metastasis (Cui et al., 2017; Lv et al.,2018; Shao et al.,2018; Yao et al., 2019), vascular emboli (Yao et al.,2019), poor OS (Jian-Hui et al.,2016; Cui et al.,2017; Lv et al.,2018; Shao et al.,2018; Qi et al., 2020) and shorter DFS (Jian-Hui et al.,2016; Wang et al.,2017). Moreover, in agreement with the results of this study, previous reports postulated that GLI1 was a significant independent predictor for OS (Jian-Hui et al., 2016; Lv et al., 2018; Shao et al., 2018; Qi et al., 2020) and DFS (Jian-Hui et al., 2016). In contrast, other studies failed to find an association between GLI1 expression clinicopathological and different features such as histological grade, clinical stage, lymph node metastasis, and lympho-vascular invasion (Wang et al., 2017; Hashimoto et al., 2020).

Beside the role of GLI1as a transcription factor that can promote tumor progression and invasion, it can also stimulate tumor metastasis due to its role as a potential cancer stem cell (CSC) marker (Cui et al., 2017). Its expression was closely linked to two CSC markers namely CD44 and LSD1 in lung SCC (Cui et al., 2017). Thus, GLI1-positive cancer cells have the ability for unlimited self-renewal and proliferation with enhanced invasive (Cui et al.,2017). properties In addition, it was found that GLI1 can epithelial-mesenchymal initiate transition (EMT) by increasing the expression of SNAIL1 and decreasing E-cadherin which in turn results in enhancing tumor cell migration, invasion, and resistance to apoptosis (Lei et al., 2022). GANT-61 which is a GLI1 inhibitor has been proven to arrest cell proliferation, induce cell apoptosis, and attenuate EMT and cell migration in breast (Neelakantan et al., 2017) and non-small cell lung cancer (Lei et al., 2022). However, whether GANT-61 suppresses the invasion and metastasis of LSCC remains largely unclear and requires further studies.

The IHC expression of PTTG1 in LSCC been has not widely investigated, there is only one previous study which examined PTTG1 expression in LSCC (Ma et al., 2018). In accordance with the present study, Ma et al.(2018), reported higher expression of PTTG1 in LSCC tissues than in the adjacent normal tissues and its expression was significantly higher in poorly differentiated tumors, higher stage, and those with lymph node metastasis. They also suggested that PTTG1 can be used as an early diagnostic marker for LSCC as they reported higher level of PTTG1 in serum of LSCC patients as compared to patients with vocal cord polyps (Ma et al., 2020). In addition, the current study revealed that higher PTTG1 was also correlated with larger tumor size, short OS, and DFS and it was a significant independent predictor for DFS. Similar results were described in hepatocellular carcinoma (Fuiii et al., 2006; Lin et al., 2019) and clear cell renal cell carcinoma (Gui et al., 2021).

Overexpression of PTTG1 in several carcinomas including LSCC with limited expression in normal tissue suggests its role in tumor initiation (Ma et al., 2018). PTTG1 has been considered as an oncogene that promotes cancer development and progression in several ways (Gong et al., 2022). It can produce chromosome instability by binding to separase and inhibiting sister chromosome separation during mitosis (Gong et al.,2022). Also, it can interfere with DNA damage repair resulting in genomic instability by preventing Ku heterodimer formation (Gong et al., 2022). Moreover, it can accelerate cancer metastasis through the upregulation of matrix metalloproteinases; MMP (Ma et al., 2018) and vascular endothelial growth factor; VEGF (Gong et al., 2022). Targeting PTTG1 reduces the proliferative and invasiveness properties of malignant melanoma cells (**Caporali et al.,2017**). Thus, It has been suggested to be a potential therapeutic target in several cancers (**Caporali et al.,2017**).

On the contrary, Hatcher et al.(2014) found that PTTG1 loss in mice results in the up-regulation of certain genes involved in mammary gland proliferation as Cyclin D1 and progesterone receptor with subsequent tumor formation. They proposed that PTTG1 may act as a tumor suppressor gene at least in the mammary gland as is required for proper mammary gland morphogenesis in mice (Hatcher et al.,2014). Additionally, they reported reduction of PTTG1 protein level in human breast tumors and this downregulation was significantly related to tumor grade. This means that PTTG1 might be a "double-edged sword" in cancer which can act as an oncogene in most cancers but also as a tumor suppressor gene in others (Gong et al., 2022).

The current study is the first to assess the correlation between GLI1 and expression in LSCC. A PTTG1 significant strong positive correlation was detected between the two proteins in LSCC specimens. In support, Feng et al.(2017) concluded that PTTG1 encouraged EMT and cancer metastasis in esophageal SCC cell lines via the activation of GLI1 by binding to its promoter. Moreover, downregulation of PTTG1 levels inhibited the expression of GLI1 in vitro (Feng et al., 2017). Thus, we can suggest that PTTG1 may activate GLI1 in the same manner previously described in esophageal SCC. However, the exact mechanism that explains the functional relationship between these proteins in LSCC requires further investigations.

Conclusion

The current results suggested that GLI1and PTTG1 may have a role in

the initiation and progression of LSCC. GLI1 and PTTG1 Both are independent predictors for short DFS and recurrence while GLI1 is a significant independent predictor for poor OS. This may allow for the stratification of patients with a high risk for recurrence and poor prognosis. The positive correlation between the two proteins may open the way for a new field for LSCC treatment as targeted agents which act against both GLI1 and PTTG1 may achieve better inhibiting effects and lesser drug resistance than an agent which acts against only one protein. However, this study has some limitations as no molecular studies were performed to specific clarify the molecular interactions between GLI1and PTTG1proteins in LSCC and their possible role as a targeted therapy for LSCC patients. Therefore, further indepth molecular studies on a larger sample size are still recommended to address the above deficiencies.

Conflict of interest

The authors have declared no conflicts of interest.

Funding Source: This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Acknowledgements: The study was approved by the ethical committee of Faculty of Medicine, Assiut University (IRB number: 17300879).

List of abbreviations

CSC: Cancer stem cell.

EMT: Epithelial-mesenchymal transition.

IHC: Immunohistochemical

GLI1: Glioma-associated oncogene homolog1.

LSCC: Laryngeal squamous cell carcinoma.

PTTG1: Pituitary tumor transforming gene-1.

SCC: Squamous cell carcinoma **References**

- Amin MB, Greene FL, Edge SB, Compton CC, Gershenwald JE, Brookland RK, et al. (2017). The Eighth Edition AJCC Cancer Staging Manual: Continuing to build a bridge from a populationbased to a more "personalized" approach to cancer staging. CA Cancer J Clin, 67(2): 93-99.
- Avery JT, Zhang R and Boohaker RJ (2021). GLI1: A Therapeutic Target for Cancer. Front Oncol, 11: 673154.
- Boruah N, Singh CS, Swargiary P, Dkhar H and Chatterjee A (2022). Securin overexpression correlates with the activated Rb/E2F1 pathway and histone H3 epigenetic modifications in raw areca nut-induced carcinogenesis in mice. Cancer Cell International, 22(1): 30.
- Caporali S, Alvino E, Lacal PM, Ruffini F, Levati L, Bonmassar L, et al. (2017). Targeting the PTTG1 oncogene impairs proliferation and invasiveness of melanoma cells sensitive or with acquired resistance to the BRAF inhibitor dabrafenib. Oncotarget, 8(69): 113472-113493.
- Cheng J, Gao J and Tao K (2016). Prognostic role of Gli1 expression in solid malignancies: a meta-analysis. Sci Rep, 6(1): 22184.
- Cui Y, Cui CA, Yang ZT, Ni WD, Jin Y and Xuan YH (2017). Gli1 expression in cancer stem-like cells predicts poor prognosis in patients with lung squamous cell carcinoma. Exp Mol Pathol, 102(2): 347-353.
- El Zaiat RS, Nabil R, Khalifa KA and El Feshawy AA (2023). High GLI-1 Expression is a Reliable Indicator of Bad Prognosis in Newly Diagnosed Acute Leukemia Patients. Indian Journal of

Hematology and Blood Transfusion, 39(3): 376-382.

- Falco M, Tammaro C, Takeuchi T, Cossu AM, Scafuro G, Zappavigna S, et al. (2022). Overview on Molecular Biomarkers for Laryngeal Cancer: Looking for New Answers to an Old Problem. Cancers (Basel), 14(7): 1716.
- Feng W, Xiaoyan X, Shenglei L, Hongtao L and Guozhong J (2017). PTTG1 cooperated with GLI1 leads to epithelialmesenchymal transition in esophageal squamous cell cancer. Oncotarget, 8(54): 92388-92400.
- Fraune C, Yehorov S, Luebke AM, Steurer S, Hube-Magg C, Büscheck F, et al. (2020). Upregulation of PTTG1 is associated with poor prognosis in prostate cancer. Pathol Int, 70(7): 441-451.
- Fujii T, Nomoto S, Koshikawa K, Yatabe Y, Teshigawara O, Mori T, et al. (2006). Overexpression of pituitary tumor transforming gene 1 in HCC is associated with angiogenesis and poor prognosis. Hepatology, 43(6): 1267-1275.
- Gong S, Wu C, Duan Y, Tang J and Wu P (2022). A Comprehensive Pan-Cancer Analysis for Pituitary Tumor-Transforming Gene 1. Frontiers in Genetics, 13:843579.
- Gui Y, Liu X, Wang C and Yang P (2021). Overexpressing PTTG family genes predict poor prognosis in kidney renal clear cell carcinoma. World Journal of Surgical Oncology, 19(1): 111.
- Hashimoto I, Oue N, Kimura Y, Hiroshima Y, Hara K, Maezawa Y, et al. (2020). Clinical Significance of Glioma-associated Oncogene 1 Expression in Patients With Locally Advanced Gastric Cancer Administered Adjuvant

Chemotherapy With S-1 After Curative Surgery. Anticancer Research, 40 (10): 5815-5821.

- Hatcher RJ, Dong J, Liu S, Bian G, Contreras A, Wang T, et al. (2014). Pttg1/securin is required for the branching morphogenesis of the mammary gland and suppresses mammary tumorigenesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 111(3): 1008-1013.
- Jian-Hui C, Er-Tao Z, Si-Le C, Hui W, Kai-Ming W, Xin-Hua Z, et al. (2016). CD44, Sonic Hedgehog, and Gli1 Expression Are Prognostic Biomarkers in Gastric Cancer Patients after Radical Resection. Gastroenterol Res Pract, 2016: 1013045.
- Lei X, Li Z, Zhong Y, Li S, Chen J, Ke Y, et al. (2022). Gli1 promotes epithelial-mesenchymal transition and metastasis of nonsmall cell lung carcinoma by regulating snail transcriptional activity and stability. Acta Pharmaceutica Sinica B, 12(10): 3877-3890.
- Li JD, Farah AA, Huang ZG, Zhai GQ, Wang RG, Liu JL, et al. (2022). Clinical significance and potential regulatory mechanism of overexpression of pituitary tumor-transforming gene transcription factor in bladder cancer. BMC Cancer, 22(1): 713.
- Lin X, Yang Y, Guo Y, Liu H, Jiang J, Zheng F, et al. (2019).
 PTTG1 is involved in TNF-αrelated hepatocellular carcinoma via the induction of c-myc. Cancer Med, 8(12): 5702-5715.
- Liu J, Sun Q, Zhao J, Qin X, Gao T, Bai G, et al. (2022). Early death in supraglottic laryngeal squamous cell carcinoma: A population-based study. Ear, Nose & Throat Journal: 01455613221078184.
- Liu X, Zeng W, Zheng D, Tang M and Zhou W (2022). Clinical

significance of securin expression in solid cancers: A PRISMAcompliant meta-analysis of published studies and bioinformatics analysis based on TCGA dataset. Medicine (Baltimore), 101(37): e30440.

- Lv L, Yang Z, Ma T and Xuan Y (2018). Gli1, a potential cancer stem cell marker, is strongly associated with prognosis in prostate cancer. Int J Clin Exp Pathol, 11(10): 4957-4966.
- Ma K, Ma L and Jian Z (2018). Pituitary tumor-transforming 1 expression in laryngeal cancer and its association with prognosis. Oncol Lett, 16(1): 1107-1114.
- Ma K, Sun X, Ma L and Zhang S (2020). Expression of Serum PTTG1 in Laryngeal Carcinoma and Its Correlation to Prognosis. Clin Exp Otorhinolaryngol, 13(1): 64-68.
- Mo BY, Li GS, Huang SN, He WY, Xie LY, Wei ZX, et al. (2021). The underlying molecular mechanism and identification of transcription factor markers for laryngeal squamous cell carcinoma. Bioengineered, 12(1): 208-224.
- Neelakantan D, Zhou H, Oliphant MUJ, Zhang X, Simon LM, Henke DM, et al. (2017). EMT cells increase breast cancer metastasis via paracrine GLI activation in neighbouring tumour cells. Nat Commun, 8: 15773.
- Nocini R, Molteni G, Mattiuzzi C and Lippi G (2020). Updates on larynx cancer epidemiology. Chin J Cancer Res, 32(1): 18-25.
- Panneerselvam J, Srivastava A, Mehta M, Chen A, Zhao YD, Munshi A, et al. (2019). IL-24 Inhibits Lung Cancer Growth by Suppressing GLI1 and Inducing DNA Damage. Cancers (Basel), 11(12): 1879.

- Park JO, Nam IC, Kim CS, Park SJ, Lee DH, Kim HB, et al. (2022). Sex Differences in the Prevalence of Head and Neck Cancers: A 10-Year Follow-Up Study of 10 Million Healthy People. Cancers (Basel), 14(10): 2521.
- Parrack PH, Mariño-Enríquez A, Fletcher CDM, Hornick JL and Papke DJ, Jr. (2023). GLI1 Immunohistochemistry Distinguishes Mesenchymal Neoplasms With GLI1 Alterations From Morphologic Mimics. Am J Surg Pathol, 47(4): 453-460.
- Po A, Citarella A, Catanzaro G, Besharat ZM, Trocchianesi S, Gianno F, et al. (2020). Hedgehog-GLI signalling promotes chemoresistance through the regulation of ABC transporters in colorectal cancer cells. Sci Rep, 10(1): 13988.
- Qi W, Yang Z, Feng Y, Li H, Che N, Liu L, et al. (2020). Gli1 regulates stemness characteristics in gastric adenocarcinoma. Diagn Pathol, 15(1): 60.
- Rehfeld N, Geddert H, Atamna A, Rohrbeck A, Garcia G, Kliszewski S, et al. (2006). The influence of the pituitary tumor transforming gene-1 (PTTG-1) on survival of patients with small cell lung cancer and non-small cell lung cancer. J Carcinog, 5: 4.
- Seethala RR (2017). Update from the 4th Edition of the World Health Organization Classification of Head and Neck Tumours: Preface. Head Neck Pathol, 11(1): 1-2.
- Shao X, Kuai X, Pang Z, Zhang L, Wu L, Xu L, et al. (2018). Correlation of Gli1 and HER2 expression in gastric cancer: Identification of novel target. Sci Rep, 8(1): 397.
- Tanese K, Emoto K, Kubota N, Fukuma M and Sakamoto M

(2018). Immunohistochemical visualization of the signature of activated Hedgehog signaling pathway in cutaneous epithelial tumors. J Dermatol, 45(10): 1181-1186.

- Teveroni E, Di Nicuolo F, Bianchetti G, Epstein AL, Grande G, Maulucci G, et al. (2021). Nuclear Localization of PTTG1 Promotes Migration and Invasion of Seminoma Tumor through Activation of MMP-2. Cancers (Basel), 13(2).
- Wang B, Yu T, Hu Y, Xiang M, Peng H, Lin Y, et al. (2017). Prognostic role of Gli1 expression in breast cancer: a meta-analysis. Oncotarget, 8(46): 81088-81097.
- Wang W, Yan T, Guo W, Niu J, Zhao Z, Sun K, et al. (2021). Constitutive GLI1 expression in chondrosarcoma is regulated by major vault protein via mTOR/S6K1 signaling cascade. Cell Death Differ, 28(7): 2221-2237.
- Xu L, Kwon YJ, Frolova N, Steg AD, Yuan K, Johnson MR, et al. (2010). Gli1 promotes cell survival and is predictive of a poor outcome in ERalpha-negative breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res Treat, 123(1): 59-71.
- Xu MD, Dong L, Qi P, Weng WW, Shen XH, Ni SJ, et al. (2016). Pituitary tumortransforming gene-1 serves as an independent prognostic biomarker for gastric cancer. Gastric Cancer, 19(1): 107-115.
- Yao Y, Zhou D, Shi D, Zhang H, Zhan S, Shao X, et al. (2019). GLI1 overexpression promotes gastric cancer cell proliferation and migration and induces drug resistance by combining with the AKT-mTOR pathway. Biomedicine & Pharmacotherapy, 111: 993-1004.

- Yoon CH, Kim MJ, Lee H, Kim RK, Lim EJ, Yoo KC, et al.(2012). PTTG1 oncogene promotes tumor malignancy via epithelial to mesenchymal transition and expansion of cancer stem cell population. J Biol Chem, 287(23): 19516-19527.
- You X, Wu J, Wang Y, Liu Q, Cheng Z, Zhao X, et al. (2020). Galectin-1 promotes vasculogenic mimicry in gastric adenocarcinoma

via the Hedgehog/GLI signaling pathway. Aging (Albany NY), 12(21): 21837-21853.

• Zhou J, Heng Y, Yang Y, Zhu X, Zhou L, Gong H, et al. (2022). Survival outcomes in patients with T3-4aN0M0 glottic laryngeal squamous cell carcinoma and evaluation of postoperative radiotherapy. Oncol Lett, 24(6): 434.