Detection of Sella Turcica Anomalies in Relation with Malocclusion. Lateral Cephalometric study in Sohag Population
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Abstract

Background: Sella turcica is regarded as an important landmark that gives information about craniofacial development in lateral cephalometric radiographs. Malocclusion means disturbance in the arrangement of teeth which affects the general shape of the face, it is divided into 3 classes; I, II and III.

Objectives: To identify various anomalies of Sella turcica and their relation with malocclusion.

Subjects and methods: This retrospective study had been done on 321 lateral cephalograms of persons aged 5-16 who visited the Sohag university hospital, they were classified into three classes of malocclusion, and the anomalies of sella turcica in each class were recorded and analyzed.

Results: The highest prevalence of normal sella turcica was in class I (44.8%), then class III (21.4%) followed by class II (8.5%) The most frequent anomalies were the incomplete bridge and hypertrophic posterior wall.

Conclusion: There was an association between malocclusion classes and anomalies of sella turcica which should be noticed by orthodontists or clinicians for any interventional treatment.
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Introduction

Sella turcica is an important anatomical landmark in lateral cephalometric radiographs. It gives information about the craniofacial development (Alkofide, 2007). It is a saddle-shaped bony depression located on the upper surface of the sphenoid bone. It is also called hypophyseal fossa and lodges the hypophysis. It is related anteriorly to the tuberculum sella and posteriorly to the dorsum sella (Sathyanarayana et al., 2013).

There are two small processes on the side of the tuberculum sella. These processes are the middle clinoid processes. On the superolateral angles of the dorsum sella, the posterior clinoid processes are located. Sella turcica consists of three parts, the anterior wall, the floor, and the posterior wall (Yasa et al., 2017).

The size and shape of sella turcica vary. The size ranges from 4 to 12 mm vertical and from 5 to 16 mm anteroposteriorly (Choi et al., 2001). There are three normal shapes; circular, oval, and flat. The circular is the commonest shape while flat is the least. The round shape is common in 70% of children (Zagga et al., 2008).

The development of sella turcica associates with the development of the pituitary gland. The development of the pituitary gland completes before sella development. 28% of pituitary gland anomalies are diagnosed by using lateral cephalometric radiographs. The most frequent anomaly is pituitary adenoma which is detected by sella turcica enlargement (Moffitt, 2011).

The anterior wall of Sella turcica develops from the neural crest cells which migrate towards the frontonasal and maxillary bones. The posterior wall develops from the paraxial mesoderm and is associated with cerebral development (Kucia et al., 2014).

Human malocclusion means disarrangement of teeth and jaws that may lead to distortion in facial appearance, limitation in function in the jaw and teeth, an increase in risk for dental trauma, and a decrease in life quality (Moreno Uribe and Miller, 2015).

Angle, the “father of modern orthodontics” was the first to classify malocclusion. According to Angle, the mesiobuccal cusp of the upper first molar should align with the buccal groove of the mandibular first molar (Yadav et al., 2014).

Angle’s classification in class I; the mesiobuccal cusp of the maxillary first molar occludes the buccal groove of the mandibular first molar, and the maxillary first molar is slightly posteriorly positioned relative to the mandibular first molar. Class II; the mesiobuccal cusp of the maxillary first molar occludes anterior to the buccal groove of the mandibular first molar, the maxillary first molar is in line with or anteriorly positioned relative to the mandibular first molar. Class III; the mesiobuccal cusp of the maxillary first molar occludes posterior to the buccal groove of the mandibular first molar, the maxillary first molar is severely posteriorly positioned relative to the mandibular first molar (Mohammad et al., 2021).

Salzmann (1966) also classified the malocclusion into 3 classes:

Class I: the bones of the face and jaws are in harmony with one another and with the rest of the head. The profile is orthognathic (Straight).

Class II: Distal mandibular development with the maxilla. The profile is prognathic (Convex).

Class III: Overgrowth of the mandible with obtuse mandibular angle. The profile is a retrognathic profile (Concave).
The current work aimed to identify various anomalies of Sella turcica and their relation with malocclusion classes.

**Subjects and Methods**
This retrospective study was done on 321 lateral cephalograms on subjects aged 5-16 years, attending the Department of Orthodontics in Sohag University Hospital for diagnosis of malocclusion in the period from June 2021 to June 2022.

An ethical acceptance was taken from the medical research ethics committee of the faculty of medicine at Sohag University under IRB number (Soh-Med-23-03-10PD).

**Inclusion criteria**
- Subjects from 5-16 years.
- Good discernibility of cephalometric structures, including the Sella turcica.

**Exclusion criteria**
- Subjects with craniofacial congenital deformities.
- Poor discernibility of cephalometric structures.

These cephalograms were inspected as monitor-displayed images as reported by (Segner and Hasund, 1998), by using a computer program. Subjects were classified into skeletal class I, II, and III malocclusion according to ANB angle: (A point) anterior limit of the maxillary bone, (B point) anterior limit of the mandibular bone, and (N point) the anterior limit of the nasofrontal suture. Those with an ANB angle of 0–4 degrees were categorized as skeletal Class I, with ANB 3-5 degree were classified as Class II, subjects with an ANB angle less than -1 degree were categorized as skeletal Class III (Patil et al., 2022) (Figs 1, 2).

Fig. 1. Lateral cephalometric images showing showing ANB angle and determination of sella turcica(circle)

Fig. 2. Lateral cephalometric images showing malocclusion classes; (A) class I, (B) class II, (C) class III, notice ANB angle in the three classes ;0 degree in class I,more than 3 in class II ,less than1 in class III .
Anomalies of the Sella turcica were detected (Axelsson et al., 2004) (Fig. 3):

They were classified into:

1. Complete Bridge (Ribbon-like fusion).
2. Incomplete Bridge.
3. Hypertrophic posterior clinoid process.
4. Hypotrophic posterior clinoid process.
5. The pyramidal shape of the dorsum sellae.
6. The double contour of the floor.
7. Oblique anterior wall.
8. The oblique contour of the floor.

Fig. 3. Anomalies of sella turcica
Statistical analysis
The obtained results were analyzed using SPSS software version 16 for the relation between sella turcica abnormalities and skeletal/dental malocclusions by descriptive statistics, and chi-square test, p value ≤ 0.05 was considered as significant.

Results
This retrospective study was done on 321 lateral cephalograms, 19 of them were excluded for poor visibility or craniofacial anomaly to become 302 lateral cephalograms; 100 males and 202 females. The subject's ages ranged from 5-16 years old with a mean age of 12.27± 2.41. As seen in (Table.1) class II malocclusion had the highest number (188, 62.6%) followed by class I (58, 19.2%) then Class III (56, 18.5%).

Table 1. Gender distribution among the studied population, n= 302

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Class I N= 58</th>
<th>Class II N= 188</th>
<th>Class III N=56</th>
<th>Total N=302</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>31.0%</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>33.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>69.0%</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>67.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Table.2, and Fig.4) showed the number of normal and abnormal subjects in each class, class I had the highest percent of normal shape (44.8%) while class II had the lowest percent (8.5%), there was highly significant difference in normal shaped sella between groups (p ≤ 0.0001).

Table 2. Prevalence of abnormality among the studied population, n= 302

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Class I N= 58</th>
<th>Class II N= 188</th>
<th>Class III N=56</th>
<th>Total N=302</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>44.8%</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>55.2%</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>91.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig.4. Normal and abnormal shaped sella in each class
There was no discernible difference in normal shaped sella between the sexes, and both males and females had nearly the same percentage of them (p≥0.5) (Table 3).

Table 3. Distribution of abnormalities among males and females, n= 302

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Males N=100</th>
<th>Females N=202</th>
<th>Total N=302</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16.0%</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>18.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>84.0%</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>81.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Incomplete bridge was the most prevalent anomaly, occurring in 23.8% of cases (Table 4, Fig.5).

Table 4. Description of types of abnormalities among the studied population, n=302

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Class I N= 58</th>
<th>Class II N= 188</th>
<th>Class III N=56</th>
<th>Total N=302</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete bridge</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10.3%</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double contour of the floor</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertrophic posterior clinoid process</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>17.2%</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>21.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypotrophic posterior clinoid process</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incomplete bridge</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>17.2%</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>23.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>44.8%</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oblique anterior wall</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oblique contour of the floor</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyramidal shape of the dorsum sellae</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig.5. Anomalies of sella turcica
Discussion

Lateral cephalometry is considered a safe, easy, and non-invasive way to study the prevalence of sella turcica anomalies, as detection of anomalies at a young age can help surgeons in early intervention if needed (Patil et al., 2022). The sella turcica can be seen clearly in lateral cephalometric radiographs, and classes of malocclusion can be seen easily and precisely using previously established measurements.

The sella turcica develops embryologically from the notochord, and any deviation in cranial development will cause the sella turcica to develop abnormally later. The surrounding sella turcica develops after the pituitary gland. Therefore, variations or anomalies of the sella turcica are most frequently linked to variations or anomalies of the pituitary gland (Yasa et al., 2022).

This study was conducted on children as young as 5 years old because understanding the sella turcica's sound structure at a young age aids in the early diagnosis and treatment of cranial problems as well as the assessment of the growth of the cranial, facial, and mandibular bones at a later stage. According to some studies, the sella's anterior wall stabilized at age 5 (Sathyanarayana et al., 2013). The sella and other cranial bones' general characteristics and diameters grow and change as people age, and this is especially true of the sella turcica.

In subjects over the age of 19 compared to younger subjects, interclinoid ligament calcification is more pronounced due to dimensions and a decrease in interclinoid distance (Kashio et al., 2017).

In this study, we classified the people into 3 classes; class II malocclusion had the highest number (188 persons, 62.6%) followed by class I (58 persons, 19.2%) then class III (56 persons, 18.5%). This is near to a study done by (Issrani et al., 2023) on Saudi Subpopulations on 300 subjects where he found that class II malocclusion was the commonest with 140 subjects followed by class I with 101, then class III with 59 subjects. In Iran where a study was done on Iranian group; 31 subjects had class II, 30 class III, 29 had class I, they differ from us in that the number of subjects in each class were nearly equal (Valizadeh et al., 2015).

However, according to some researchers, class I was the most preventable (De Rider et al., 2022), where the distribution of malocclusion classes was Class I, Class II, and Class III with a mean prevalence of 51.9%, 23.8%, and 6.5%, respectively. Also (Narayanan et al., 2016) found that the prevalence of malocclusion was highest in class III (66.62%), followed by class II (34.28%), and class I (11.42%).

Other studies using different age groups from 14 to 26 found that the prevalence of malocclusion was highest in class III (66.62%), followed by class II (9.3%), and class I (11.42%) (Sobuti et al., 2018).

In our current study, a total of 17.9% of people had normal sella, while 82.1% of people had abnormal sella. These findings are consistent with a research in an Iranian group where normal-shaped sellas were observed in 24.4% of cases and anomalies in 7.6% of cases (Valizadeh et al., 2015). Additionally, a second morphometric study on the north Indian population was conducted, with the findings indicating that 28% of the population had the typical Sella (Chauhan et al., 2014). In Turkish population the normal sella turcica were seen in (39%) and anomalies in sella in (61%)
(Magat and Sener, 2018). According to a study conducted in Saudi Arabia, (44%) of patients had a normal shape, while (55%) had variations. (Issrani et al., 2013). Another study on the Norwegian population showed (65%) of women and (71%) of men had normal sella (Axelsson et al., 2004). This last study was done on children with normal skeletal class which may explain the difference in percentages.

Our current study showed that a higher presence of normal sella turcica was in class I (44.8%), then class III (21.4%) and class II (8.5%). Oktem agreed with our results. Class I had the highest percentage of normal sella turcica (46.7%), followed by class III (45.2%), and class II (30.3%) (Oktem et al., 2018). Hammami demonstrated that in Tunisian children, class II had the highest percentage of normal sella turcica (45.8%), followed by class III (40%) and class I (33%) in that order (Hammami et al., 2021).

Our study demonstrated that there is no difference in normality between males and females (Shahbeig et al., 2015) agreed with us in that there was no discernible gender difference in average diameters. Also (AL-Mohana et al., 2022) found no gender-specific shape differences in Yemen.

In our research, incomplete bridge was the most frequently observed anomaly (23.8%). This was accepted with the study done in Iran (Valizadeh et al., 2015) whose results were nearly similar to us. A study on Yemen found that the bridge shape (complete and incomplete) was present in 35.9 percent of the population. (AL-Mohana et al., 2022). (Shrestha et al., 2018) reported that 23.33% had incomplete bridge.

In our study, class III (32.2%) and class II (23.4%) were the classes with the highest percentages of incomplete bridge, followed by class I (17.2%). This was comparable to the Iranian group. (Meyer-Marcotty et al., 2010) agreed with us as he reported the frequency of sella turcica bridging to be greater in skeletal class III patients compared to class I (16.8% versus 9.4%). (Leonardi et al., 2006) reported that the prevalence of sella turcica bridge is higher in persons with dental anomalies.

An incomplete bridge or calcification of the interclinoid ligament is said to be associated with a tooth or craniofacial anomaly, and any case discovered with this anomaly should undergo more examinations (Neha et al., 2016), but if calcification, or ‘bridging’ appeared with no symptoms, it could be regarded as one of ST normal variants (AL-Mohana et al., 2022).

Different sella turcica anomalies could be signs of other dental or craniofacial anomalies, as well as underlying pathologies of the pituitary gland. (Karaman et al., 2021). Therefore, early diagnosis and surgical intervention can be aided by the routine use of simple lateral cephalometric radiographs in cases of malocclusion.

Conclusion
There were many anomalies of sella turcica which was associated with malocclusion classes which should be noticed by any clinician before any interventional maneuver.

Study’s Limitation
In this study, our samples were all those who came for diagnosis or management of malocclusion, so the percentage of class I may not represent the true percent of the population, more research is needed to evaluate a large number of people, this study also was limited to children and adolescent peoples, not adult so no measurement was done to evaluate the normal...
variation in depth and length of sella, so more researches are needed to study this measures in Sohag.
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